

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET-NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,999	04/02/2004	Jim Vogeley	4209-41	7278
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 06/13/2007 NDERHYE, PC		EXAMINER	
901 NORTH C	SLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLO	OOR	SAN MARTIN, JAYDI A	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/815,999	VOGELEY, JIM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jaydi A. San Martin	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	arch 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11,15-54,57-61,65-91,93-107,1 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-47,65-90,95-99 ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,7-11,15-17,48-54,57-61,91,93,94, 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	nd 118-152 is/are withdrawn from 100-107 and 110-114 is/are reje	consideration.			
Application Papers	•				
	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/07,12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/815,999 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 14, 67 and 117 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Riley (US 6252512). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 91, 93 and 94 depend upon cancelled claim 63. For examination purposes the Examiner considered the claims to be dependent from claim 48. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 107 recites the limitation "during real time operation of the pump" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner has given no patentable weight to the limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-10, 48, 52-54, 57, 59-60, 62, 63, 93, 94, 100, 101, 105-107 and 112-113, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riley (US 6,252,512).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,999 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Riley discloses drive circuit that produces a drive signal for a device having a piezoelectric actuator (24) and applies the drive signal to the piezoelectric actuator, wherein the drive circuit is arranged to change dynamically one of the voltage and frequency of the drive signal in accordance with an input signal to the drive circuit wherein the input signal is obtained from a user input device. Specifically, Riley discloses changing the frequency of the signal (col. 5, second paragraph) and that the input signal could be obtained by sensing the pressure (as required in claim 7 of the instant application), mass of an object and/or from a personal computer (columns 5 and 6).

With regards to claims 2 and 4, Riley discloses the signal wave having a sine wave shape, which will be changed when the voltage or the frequency are changed.

Regarding claim 3, the signal comprises charge packets.

Regarding claims 7, 9 and 10, the resonance frequency of a piezoelectric element is changed upon application of a force/pressure. In the invention disclosed by Riley, a sensor for sensing mass or pressure is used, it is known that many force, mass and pressure sensors uses piezoelectric elements. Therefore, it is expected that the application of a force will change the resonance frequency of the piezoelectric element.

Claims 48, 52-54, 57, 59-60, 62, 63, 93, 94, 100, 101, 105-107, 112-113 are rejected for the same reasons as explained above, since the method of driving the piezoelectric and the device itself are inherent in the disclosure of Riley.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/815,999

Art Unit: 2834

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

5. Claims 8, 11, 58, 61, 111 and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riley.

Araki discloses a driving circuit for driving a piezoelectric device based on a sensed parameter.

However, Riley fails to disclose such parameter being temperature.

The broad teaching of Riley is that a drive signal could be controlled and determined based on a sensed parameter. It is the Examiner's position that it would have obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to sense a parameter, other than distance and such as temperature, to determine and control the driving signal as necessitated by the specific requirements of a particular application.

6. Claims 15-17, 49-51, 91 and 102-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riley.

Riley discloses a driving circuit for driving a piezoelectric device based on a sensed parameter, but fails to disclose the piezoelectric device being a piezoelectric pump. Riley also fails to disclose the driving signal varying over time to deliver a non-continuous dosage of fluid.

First, it should be noted that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,999

Art Unit: 2834

claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

Second, since Riley does not disclose the piezoelectric device being a piezoelectric pump, the deliver of a non-continuous dosage of fluid is not disclosed either. However, Riley's driving signal depending upon a sensed parameter would allow a non-continuous dosage of fluid to be delivered in the case where the driven piezoelectric element is a piezoelectric pump. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the driving control system as disclosed by Riley to drive a piezoelectric pump and to deliver a non-continuous dosage of fluid. Moreover, Riley's invention is directed to a monitoring system that evaluates the response of a piezoelectric element. It is old and known in the art of piezoelectrics to use piezoelectric pumps and the advantages are widely acknowledged.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. San Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,999 Page 6

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jaydi A. San Martin

Patent Examiner-Class 310

Art Unit 2834

6/10/07